Pancreas Transplantation: A Review of Literature
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Abstract
Pancreas transplantation has emerged as an effective treatment for patients with diabetes mellitus, especially those with established end-stage renal disease. Surgical and immunosuppressive advances have significantly improved allograft survival. The procedure reduces mortality compared with diabetic kidney transplant recipients and waitlisted patients. Improvements in diabetic nephropathy and retinopathy have also been demonstrated. Pancreas transplantation can improve cardiovascular risk profiles, improve cardiac function, and decrease cardiovascular events. Finally, improvements in diabetic neuropathy and quality of life can result from pancreas transplantation. Pancreas transplantation remains the most effective method to establish durable euglycemia for patients with diabetes mellitus.
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Introduction
Diabetes mellitus affects about 6% of the general population; it is currently the third most common disease and the fourth leading cause of death by disease in the United States. Of the estimated 21 million diabetic individuals in the United States, 1-2 million have insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM). Nearly 300,000 new cases of IDDM are diagnosed each year, and the incidence is increasing (1). Pancreas transplantation has assumed an increasingly important role in the treatment of IDDM. It is currently the only available treatment that reliably provides an insulin-independent state, resulting in euglycemia and normal glycosylated hemoglobin levels by re-establishing endogenous insulin secretion responsive to normal feedback controls (2).

Pancreas transplantation was first described in 1967 (2,3), but the initial pancreas graft and patient survival rates were dismal. A variety of factors, including advances in surgical techniques, immunosuppression, graft preservation techniques, methods of diagnosis and treatment of rejection, and management of common post-transplantation complications, have led to significant improvements in graft and patient survival. As a result, the total number of pancreas transplant procedures reported to the United Network of Organ Sharing and the International Pancreas Transplant Registry continued to increase - a total of 26,571 from December 1966 to October 2002, with most (n = 20,014) performed in the United States (3).

Indications for and types of pancreas transplantation
Patients with type 1 diabetes and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) have the choice of three transplant procedures - kidney transplant alone, simultaneous pancreas-kidney (SPK) transplant, or kidney transplant followed by pancreas transplant [pancreas after kidney (PAK) transplant], where the kidney graft is obtained from either a living or deceased donor. The usual indication for this procedure at most centers is a type 1 diabetes patient with ESRD.

SPK transplantation: In SPK transplantation, the pancreas and kidney are usually obtained from the same deceased donor. Therefore, changes in kidney function can be used to determine whether the rejection is occurring in either organ (4,5).

PAK transplantation: This is the second most common pancreas transplant procedure. The indication for this procedure is a patient with type 1 diabetes who has identified a living donor for kidney transplant and wants to plan a later PAK transplant, or the type 1 diabetes patient who already has a kidney transplant that has stable graft function, desires the potential
benefits of euglycemia, and has the cardiac reserve to undergo the procedure (4-7).

Pancreas transplant alone (PTA): PTA is the least common pancreas transplant procedure performed. Frequent, severe, and hypoglycemic events are the most common indication for this procedure. The American Diabetes Association position statement suggests that indications for pancreas transplant (in the absence of kidney failure) are “frequent, acute and severe metabolic complications (hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, and ketoacidosis) requiring medical attention” as well as “clinical and emotional problems with exogenous insulin therapy that are so severe as to be incapacitating; and consistent failure of insulin-based management to prevent acute complications” (5).

Surgical issues in pancreas transplantation

Bladder versus enteric duct management: With bladder drainage (BD), urine amylase can be used as a marker of graft function. Biopsies of the pancreas graft are also easily obtained across the bladder wall through cystoscopy. However, this procedure also creates potential complications. Metabolic acidosis occurs in most cases, and extracellular volume depletion is common, occasionally severe enough to require hospitalization; both complications are due to the loss of sodium bicarbonate-rich pancreatic secretions into the urine. Additional problems that can complicate BD include bladder leak, reflux pancreatitis, particularly with neurogenic bladder, chemical cystitis/urethritis, and frequent bladder infections, duodenitis in the connecting segment, bladder tumors, bladder calculi, urethral stricture, urethral erosion, epididymitis, prostatitis, and prostatic abscess. The frequency of urological complications is high (50-77%), but they rarely result in graft or patient loss.

The alternative to BD is enteric drainage (ED) of the exocrine duct. In this procedure, the pancreatic duct is inserted into the small bowel using a small “button” of duodenum or with a Roux-en-Y limb. There is less need for monitoring the pancreas graft, overall, because immunosuppression has improved and frequency of rejection episodes has decreased after pancreas transplant of all types. Indications for enteric conversion surgery (20–25%) are frequent episodes of severe extracellular volume depletion, severe metabolic acidosis, urological complications, or problems with the duodenal segment.

SPK transplants performed with either BD or ED have equal pancreas graft survival (Figures 1 and 2).

Portal versus systemic venous drainage (SVD): When placed in the systemic circulation - called SVD- the insulin secreted into the pancreatic venous effluent is not extracted immediately by the liver, as it would be if it emptied into the portal circulation. Systemic concentrations of insulin, both fasting and postprandial, are elevated as a result. Subsequently, a procedure was developed where the graft was placed in the portal circulation, and the pancreatic duct was drained into the small intestine.

Complications

The complexity of the whole pancreas transplant procedure, along with the likelihood of pre-existing disease secondary to their diabetes, exposes the recipient to a variety of significant operative and postoperative risks. The extent of the post-operative problems likely limited the widespread acceptance of pancreas transplantation in the early era of its development. Serious surgical complications following the procedure include thrombosis of graft vessels, intra-abdominal hemorrhage, anastomotic leak (enteric or bladder), graft pancreatitis, pancreatic fistula formation, and intra-abdominal sepsis, all of which

may require re-laparotomy and the possibility of graft loss. In recent years, with improvements in donor and recipient selection criteria, surgical technique, immunosuppression protocols (reduced incidence of early and acute rejection), and prophylaxis regimes (antiviral, antibacterial, and antithrombosis), there has been a significant decrease in the overall incidence of serious complications and the rate of re-laparotomy.

**Immunosuppression**

The most common regimen in all pancreas transplant categories in 2000 was tacrolimus/mycophenolate mofetil (MMF, nearly 80%) with cyclosporine/MMF a distant second (5-20%) (6-8). The combination of tacrolimus/MMF has largely replaced cyclosporine/MMF because of some evidence of lower rejection rates, and better blood pressure and lipids. Other combinations are tacrolimus-sirolimus cyclosporine-sirolimus, tacrolimus-sirolimus-MMF, MMF-sirolimus, and sirolimus only. Many centers still use corticosteroids in their immunosuppression protocol, which may allow a reduced dose of calcineurin inhibitor, but others have tried to move to a “steroid-free” protocol with the assumption that this will decrease the risk of weight gain, glucose intolerance, dyslipidemia, and bone loss. The results of clinical pancreas transplantation continue to improve as immunosuppressive strategies become more targeted. Although corticosteroid withdrawal or avoidance is a clinical reality, particularly in SPK and PAK recipients, calcineurin inhibitor minimization, or elimination remains a work in progress. Induction immunosuppression is routinely used in whole pancreas transplantation, with >75% of recipients receiving either (1) a T-cell depleting polyclonal antibody (Thymoglobulin, ATGAM) or monoclonal antibody (OKT3, Campath); (2) a non-depleting monoclonal anti-CD25 antibody (Zenapax, Simulect); or (3) both.

The initial results with alemtuzumab induction appear quite promising, although it appears to be more effective when used as a conventional induction agent rather than a tolerance-inducing or maintenance immunosuppressant. In SPK recipients, both T-cell depleting and nondepleting antibody agents for induction have been used, whereas, in solitary PTX, the use of T-cell depleting antibodies has been greater. Monotherapy can be achieved in a proportion of patients, but it remains unclear which regimen is most effective and safe. Future clinical studies will continue to focus on long-term outcomes, appropriate donor and recipient selection, immunologic monitoring, immunosuppressive reduction, and outcomes-based research.

**Results**

Whole-organ SPK transplant with normal graft function consistently improves 7- to 10-year patient survival compared with deceased donor kidney transplant, SPK transplant with loss of pancreas graft function, or dialysis in type1 diabetic patients waiting for a transplant (3). Patient survival after SPK transplant is consistently better than that observed after cadaveric donor kidney transplant, with the possible exception of recipients over age 50. Although this advantage may, in part, be due to improved glucose after SPK transplant compared with kidney transplant alone, differences between the recipients who undergo these procedures, and between the donor’s grafts used for these two procedures, would likely also contribute to the difference in survival described between these two procedures. Mortality after SPK transplant is equal to living donor kidney transplant alone after 10 years, and both PAK and PTA may increase 4-year mortality compared with remaining on the waiting list for those procedures. In these cases, specific quality of life concerns and impact of pancreas transplant on specific diabetic complications need to be weighed against potential early increase in mortality before these procedures are considered. Whole pancreas transplantation has proven to be a safe procedure with a 1- and 3-year patient survival rates for all forms of pancreas transplant (SPK, PAK, and PTA) in the US since 1998 at almost 95%, and 89%, respectively (unadjusted patient survival rates, 2009 OPTN/SRTR Annual Report) (3-5).

Serum glucose level normalizes immediately after pancreas transplant, at the expense of hyperinsulinemia if SVD is used. Insulin secretion demonstrates oscillations despite denervation, as well as normal first- and second-phase secretion responses unless there is a decrease in graft function or increased insulin resistance. C peptide concentrations are often slightly elevated, both basally and after mixed meal stimulus, but similar to those of non-diabetic kidney transplant recipients. Although fasting proinsulin is increased, it does not necessarily represent failing graft function, and glucagon response to hypoglycemia improves over time. Glucagon and symptom response to hypoglycemia return to normal or near normal over time; epinephrine and growth hormone responses though improve do not become normal after pancreas transplant. Hypoglycemic symptoms and documented events are uncommon and tend to diminish over time but may be due to a variety of factors. It should be cautioned that glucagon secretion in response to hypoglycemia does not improve with either allo- or auto-transplantation of islets into the liver, in human or animal studies, as described with pancreas transplant, and may be related to their location in the liver (8).

Diabetic nephropathy can be prevented by a functioning pancreas graft, and pathological changes of diabetes can reverse over time after more than 5 years of normal pancreas function (9). Diabetic retinopathy may
worsen initially after pancreas transplantation with sudden improvement in glucose concentration; therefore, evaluation and treatment of pre-existing retinopathy are important when pancreas transplant surgery is being considered (9,10). After 3 or more years of pancreas graft function, less retinal surgery is required after SPK transplant compared with kidney transplant alone in patients who do not already have end-stage eye disease. Lifelong eye surveillance examinations are required in all pancreas transplant recipients as laser surgery may still be required, particularly early after transplant surgery. Furthermore, screening ophthalmic examinations are needed to evaluate cataracts that can form or progress, particularly in any patient treated with corticosteroids. Improvements in sensory and motor neuropathy occur after both SPK transplantation and kidney transplant alone. However, greater improvements have been reported after SPK transplant with ongoing improvements up to 10 years after the transplant. Autonomic neuropathies may take longer to improve (10 years or more) and maybe only partially reversible or not reversible at all in some cases. Yet, some autonomic neuropathy parameters are improved in some studies, particularly hypoglycemia awareness, autonomic response to hypoglycemia, and cardiac autonomic neuropathies (11-14).

The most common cause of death in diabetes and transplant patients is vascular disease. Improved glucose control, as with SPK transplant, can improve vascular reactivity and microvascular integrity and responses, but other factors after transplant may prevent or minimize these improvements in some patients (15-18). Changes in renal function; genetic predisposition to hypertension, dyslipidemia, or insulin resistance; the types of immunosuppressant used and their relative dose; changes in behavior as with weight gain or smoking cessation; and even donor and graft variables that contribute to delayed or decreased renal function, or frequency of rejection that increases the need for immune suppression may all impact risk over time (19). However, the results to date suggest that macro-vascular disease improves in most patients after SPK transplant, but inadequate data are available to comment on change in risk after PTA or PAK transplant.
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